UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,546	10/28/2003	Stephen Barnes	200123-2	7512
29137 BASF CORPOI	7590 08/11/200 RATION	EXAMINER		
CARL-BOSCH	-STRASSE 38		KAPUSHOC, STEPHEN THOMAS	
LUDWIGSHAI GERMANY	FEN, D0/036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,546	BARNES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal	e same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this blies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request R 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	ate of the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee sion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee rtened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as an three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. iled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	deration and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a cor NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116	and 41.33(a)).
	See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): S	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 	vable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of ed below or appended.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	efore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of	of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but d <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	oes NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P7 13. Other:	ΓO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
/Jehanne Sitton/	/Stephen Kapushoc/
Primary Examiner	Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims change the limitations of the required primers used in the claimed methods, where the proposed amended claims are different in scope than the claims as examined in the previous Office Action. As such the limitations of the proposed amended claims would require a further consideration of the cited prior art as well as a search of the prior art with regard to the new required limitations.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 2-10, 12-21, 24, and 25 for Double Patenting is WITHDRAWN in light of the abandonment of application USSN 10/695,089.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Remarks of 05/23/2008 are drawn to the proposed amended claims which are not entered because they would require further consideration of the cited prior art and other prior art. As such the Remarks are moot with regard to the pending claims, and the pending claims remain rejected for the reasons of record as addressed in the previous Office Action of 0/20/2008.